Exhibit 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to: Pasqualina Rausa v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.

MDL No. 2738 (FLW) (LHG)

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this *Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial* against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint* in *In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1.	Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s):
Pas	squalina Rausa
2. Floi	At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of rida
3.	-
talcu	ım powder product(s) related death:
5.	Plaintiff/Decedent was born on and died on
	Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the of the, having been duly appointed
	by theCourt of

7. As a re	esult of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered
personal and	d economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the
use of the p	products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the
following:	
	X injury to herself
	injury to the person represented
	wrongful death
	survivorship action
	economic loss
	loss of services
	loss of consortium
	other:
<u>Identificati</u>	on of Defendants
8. Plaint	tiff(s)/Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are suing the following
Defendant(s	s) (please check all that apply) ¹ :
V	Johnson & Johnson
V	Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

¹ If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*.

		Imerys Talc America, Inc. ("Imerys Talc")				
		Personal Care Products Council ("PCPC")				
Add	Additional Defendants:					
	Othe	Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify):				
		JURISDICTION & VENUE				
<u>Juri</u>	<u>sdictio</u>	<u>n:</u>				
9.	Juris	diction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:				
	V	Diversity of Citizenship				
		Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must				
be pl	led in s	ufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil				
Proc	edure).					
<u>Ven</u>	ue:					
10.	Distr	rict Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper				
whe	re you	might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the				
direc	et filing	g Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be				
orde	red by	the Judicial Panel for trial:				
Unit	ted Sta	ates District Court for the Middle District of Florida				

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

11.	Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State):
Pont	e Vedra, Florida
12.	At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum
powd	er product(s) injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State):
Pont	e Vedra, Florida
13.	The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder
produ	ct(s) injury in (City/State): Ponte Vedra, Florida on
June	13, 2018 (date).
14.	To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using
talcur	n powder product(s) on or about the following date: January 1970
and c	ontinued the use of talcum powder product(s) through about the
follow	ving date: June 2018
15.	The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the
follov	ving (State(s)): Florida, New York and North Carolina
16.	Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:
	Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder
	Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

17.	Plaint	iff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the <i>Master</i>
Long	Form	Complaint and Jury Demand as if fully set forth herein.
18.	The fo	ollowing claims and allegations asserted in the Master Long
Forn	n Com	plaint and Jury Demand are herein adopted by reference by
Plair	ntiff(s):	
		Count I: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)
	~	Count II: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
		Count III: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)
	v	Count IV: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
	~	Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
	V	Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
	v	Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
		Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)
	v	Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
		Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)

V	Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
~	Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
	Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)
~	Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of
the State of	Florida, New York and North Carolina (Against the Johnson &
Johnson De	fendants).
	Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)
~	Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
	Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
v	Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
	Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
v	Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
~	Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
	Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
	Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
	Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional
theories and	d/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in
Paragraph 1	nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of

recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts
and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a
manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: March 17, 2020 Respectfully Submitted by,

/s/ P. Leigh O'Dell

/s/ Brittany S. Scott

/s/ Lauren A. James

Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis

& Miles, P.C.

Counsel for Plaintiff(s)